

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.		
10/771,040	02/03/2004	Frank P. Uckert	UC0210USNA	1544		
23906	23906 7590 08/11/2006		EXAM	EXAMINER		
E I DU PONT DE NEMOURS AND COMPANY			THOMPSON	THOMPSON, CAMIE S		
	ENT RECORDS CENTER		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
BARLEY MILL PLAZA 25/1128 4417 LANCASTER PIKE WILMINGTON, DE 19805			1774	TATER NOMBER		
			DATE MAILED: 08/11/2006			

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory Action

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
10/771,040	UCKERT ET AL.		
Examiner	Art Unit		
Camie S. Thompson	1774		

D.	sfore the Eiling of an Anneal Drief	 						
De	efore the Filing of an Appeal Brief	Examiner	Art Unit					
		Camie S. Thompson	1774					
	The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence add	ress				
THE REI	PLY FILED <u>12 July 2006</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPI	LICATION IN CONDITION FOR AL	LOWANCE.	•				
1. ☐ The this pla a F	The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the same day as filing a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abandonment of this application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit, or other evidence, which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply must be filed within one of the following time periods:							
	The period for reply expires <u>3</u> months from the mailing date of the final rejection.							
b) 🗌	The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection.							
	Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f).							
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee nave been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).								
2. 🛛 The	e Notice of Appeal was filed on 7/12/06. A brief in com	pliance with 37 CFR 41.37 must be	e filed within two mon	ths of the date				
of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal. Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed within the time period set forth in 37 CFR 41.37(a).								
<u>AMENDI</u>								
3. 🔲 Th	e proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection,	but prior to the date of filing a brief,	, will <u>not</u> be entered b	ecause				
	They raise new issues that would require further co		TE below);					
	They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belo	•						
	They are not deemed to place the application in being appeal; and/or			the issues for				
(a)	They present additional claims without canceling a		ected claims.					
. □ ±	NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).		P	(DTOL 004)				
	e amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1.		mpliant Amendment	(PTOL-324).				
	oplicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s)							
	ewly proposed or amended claim(s) would be aln-allowable claim(s).	lowable if submitted in a separate,	timely filed amendme	ent canceling the				
7. 🛛 Fo	r purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) with the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro-	☐ will not be entered, or b) ☒ will vided below or appended.	ll be entered and an e	explanation of				
The	e status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:							
	im(s) allowed:							
	im(s) objected to: im(s) rejected: <u>1-14</u> .							
	im(s) rejected: 1-14. im(s) withdrawn from consideration:							
	/IT OR OTHER EVIDENCE							
bed	e affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, bu cause applicant failed to provide a showing of good and s not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).	t before or on the date of filing a No d sufficient reasons why the affidav	otice of Appeal will <u>no</u> rit or other evidence is	t be entered necessary and				
ent	e affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing ered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o owing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessan	vercome all rejections under appea	al and/or appellant fai	Is to provide a				
10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation of the status of the claims after entry is below or attached. REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER								
11. 🛭 Th	ne request for reconsideration has been considered bu see Continuation Sheet.	t does NOT place the application in	n condition for allowar	nce because:				
	ote the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). ther:	(PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper N	lo(s)					

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Applicant argues that the Chen reference discloses light emitting compositions comprising a copolymer of anthracene and fluorene. Applicant argues that the present claims are drawn to homopolymers and copolymers in which the monomers are selected from fluorene, spirofluorene and bridged biphenyl. The Chen reference discloses a light emitting device comprising a light emitting composition disposed between a first and second electrode wherein the composition comprising a copolymer comprising anthracene, fluorene and the third component. Additionally, Chen discloses that the third component can be a comonomer that is a biphenyl. The Chen reference also discloses in the reference claims that at least one of the comonomers is substituted with one or more C1-10 alkyl or alkoxy or phenyl, phenoxy, benzy or benzyloxy substituents. Further, to the extent that applicant's arguments appear to indicate that the claims are limited to homopolymers and copolymers consisting of the recited aromatic monomeric units, the examiner notes the open language "comprising" does not exclude Chen's anthracene units. The rejection is maintained.

MARIE YAMNITZKY PRIMARY EXAMINER

Marie Z. Yanistelez

1174 08/01/2006